1	COMPB	
$_2$	AARON D. FORD	
3	Attorney General ERNEST D. FIGUEROA	
4	Consumer Advocate LAURA M. TUCKER (Bar No. 013268)	
5	Senior Deputy Attorney General	
6	RAQUEL Y. FULGHUM, (Bar No. 14711) Deputy Attorney General	
7	State of Nevada, Office of the Attorney General Bureau of Consumer Protection	
8	8945 W. Russell Road, #204	
9	Las Vegas, Nevada 89148 702-486-6525 ph	
10	lmtucker@ag.nv.gov rfulghum@ag.nv.gov	
11	Attorneys for Plaintiff, State of Nevada	
12	DISTRICT COURT	
13	CLARK COUNTY, NEVADA	
14	STATE OF NEVADA,	
15	Plaintiff,)	
16) vs.)	CASE NO.: DEPT NO.:
17)	
18	NAVIENT CORPORATION;) NAVIENT SOLUTIONS, LLC.;)	BUSINESS COURT REQUESTED
19	PIONEER CREDIT RECOVERY, INC.; and) GENERAL REVENUE CORPORATION,)	ARBITRATION EXEMPTION— Action in Equity
20)	- v
21	Defendants.))	
22	<u>COMPLAINT</u>	
23	Plaintiff, State of Nevada, by AARON D. FORD, Attorney General, ERNEST D.	
24	FIGUEROA, Consumer Advocate, and his deputies, LAURA M. TUCKER, Senior Deputy	
25	Attorney General, and RAQUEL Y. FULGHUM, Deputy Attorney General, (hereinafter	
26	"the State"), brings this action against NAVIENT CORPORATION; NAVIENT	
27	SOLUTIONS, LLC.; PIONEER CREDIT RECOVERY, INC.; and GENERAL REVENUE	
28	CORPORATION, (hereinafter "Navient Corp.") in the public interest and pursuant to	

2

3

4

5 6

7

8

9 10

11

12

13

14

15

16 17

18

19

20 21

22 23

24

25

26

27

28

applicable Nevada law, including but not limited to violations of NRS 598.0903, et seg., to protect consumers from unlawful business practices.

I. DEFENDANTS

- 2.1 Navient Corporation ("Navient Corp.") is a Delaware corporation with its principal executive offices in Wilmington, Delaware.
- 2.2 Navient Solutions, LLC., ("Navient"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Navient Corporation, is a corporation headquartered in Wilmington, Delaware. Navient was formerly known as Sallie Mae, Inc. or Sallie Mae, and was a subsidiary of SLM Corporation ("Former SLM Corporation") until April 2014. In April 2014, the Former SLM Corporation separated into two publicly traded entities: Navient Corp. and a new SLM Corporation. After the 2014 separation, Sallie Mae, Inc. changed its name to Navient Solutions, Inc. In 2017, Navient Solutions, Inc., changed its name to Navient Solutions, LLC.
- 2.3 Pioneer Credit Recovery, Inc. ("Pioneer"), a wholly-owned subsidiary of Navient Corporation, is a corporation based in Arcade, New York.
- 2.4 General Revenue Corporation ("GRC") is formerly a wholly-owned subsidiary of Navient Corporation and an Ohio corporation with its principal executive offices in Mason, Ohio.

II. JURISDICTION

- 3.1 The State files this complaint and institutes these proceedings under the provisions of the Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act, NRS 598.0903, et seq.
- 3.2 Defendants have engaged in the conduct described below in the State of Nevada, County of Clark, and elsewhere in the State of Nevada. This Court has jurisdiction over this matter pursuant to the Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act, NRS 598.0903, et seg.

III. VENUE

4.1 Venue is proper in the Eighth Judicial District Court in and for the County of Clark, pursuant to NRS 598.0989(3) because Defendants transact business in the State 8

6

13

14

15

17

16

18 19

20

21

22

23

24

25 26

27

28

of Nevada, County of Clark, by servicing and collecting on student loans owed by borrowers in Clark County, Nevada.

IV. FACTS

- Many students in the State of Nevada finance their educations in part 5.1 through federal and/or private student loans.
- 5.2The State alleges that before the Former SLM Corporation split, Sallie Mae and its lending affiliates originated subprime student loans that Sallie Mae expected would default at high rates, and which did default at high rates.
- Borrowers and cosigners have complained that Navient's billing and 5.3payment systems made it difficult for borrowers and cosigners to control the application and allocation of their payments.
- The State alleges that Navient encouraged federal student loan borrowers to 5.4contact it if they experienced difficulty repaying, and represented to borrowers that it would help them make the right decision for their situation.
- The State alleges that in the course of servicing federal student loans, 5.5Navient placed some borrowers who were experiencing long-term financial distress or hardship into forbearances or offered forbearances to such borrowers without adequately exploring whether an alternative repayment plan, such as an income-driven repayment ("IDR") plan, would be more appropriate for their circumstances.
- 5.6 The State alleges that Navient's IDR renewal notifications to federal student loan borrowers did not adequately advise borrowers of the subject matter and urgency of the notifications. The companies improved these notifications in December 2012 and March 2015, respectively, after which they achieved higher levels of IDR recertification.
- The State alleges that Navient misinformed some borrowers and cosigners 5.7concerning the qualifications and criteria for cosigner release on some private student loans. Between 2013 and 2016, Navient changed some of its cosigner release procedures and disclosures.

5.8 The State alleges that Pioneer and GRC misinformed some defaulted federal student loan borrowers about certain requirements and consequences of options for getting their loans out of default, rehabilitation and consolidation.

V. FIRST CAUSE OF ACTION

- 6.1 The State incorporates Paragraphs 1.1 through 5.8 herein as if set forth in their entirety.
- 6.2 The State of Nevada alleges that Defendants' conduct, described above, occurred in trade or commerce, affected the public interest, and that Defendants (or their predecessors) violated the Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act, NRS 598.0903, et seq., by:
 - a. Originating private student loans that defaulted at high rates in order to gain access to federally guaranteed or otherwise more profitable loan volume between 2001 and 2009;
 - b. Representing that Navient would help federal student loan borrowers find payment options that fit their circumstances and budget and minimized costs, and then offering or placing borrowers into forbearances without first exploring IDR plans;
 - c. Maintaining billing and payment systems that made it difficult for borrowers and cosigners to control the application and allocation of their payments and furnishing incorrect information related to cosigner release; and
 - d. Collecting student loans in an unfair or deceptive manner.

VI. PRAYER FOR RELIEF

Wherefore, the State prays for the following relief:

- 7.1 A declaration that Defendants' acts described above are unfair or deceptive acts or practices in trade or commerce, affecting the public interest, and in violation of the Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act, NRS 598.0903, et seq.
- 7.2 An injunction pursuant to NRS 598.0963(3) enjoining Defendants from engaging in any acts that violate the Nevada Deceptive Trade Practices Act, NRS

21

22

23

24

25

26

27